

# K-STABILITY OF CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE POLARIZATION

TOSHIKI MABUCHI\*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we shall show that a polarized algebraic manifold is K-stable if the polarization class admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. This generalizes the results of Chen-Tian [1], Donaldson [5] and Stoppa [22].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Yau's conjecture<sup>†</sup> ([24], pp.49–50) suggests a strong correlation between stability of polarized algebraic manifolds and the existence of extremal metrics in the polarization class (cf. [23], [2], [9]). Especially, for Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature, the following is still open as an interesting conjecture:

**Conjecture** (Tian [23], Donaldson [3]). *A polarized algebraic manifold  $(M, L)$  is K-stable if and only if the polarization class  $c_1(L)_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature.*

For “if” part of this conjecture, Chen-Tian [1] and Donaldson [5] showed that a polarized algebraic manifold  $(M, L)$  is K-semistable when the class  $c_1(L)_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. Very recently, by an effective use of moduli spaces, Stoppa [22] proved a stronger result showing that a polarized algebraic manifold  $(M, L)$  with a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature in  $c_1(L)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is K-stable if the group  $\text{Aut}(M, L)$  of holomorphic automorphisms of  $(M, L)$  is discrete. The purpose of this paper is to extend Stoppa's result to the following general case without assuming such discreteness:

---

<sup>†</sup>Its vector bundle counterpart known also as the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence is affirmative by the works of Kobayashi, Lübke, Donaldson, Uhlenbeck and Yau.

**Main Theorem.** *A polarized algebraic manifold  $(M, L)$  is K-stable if the class  $c_1(L)_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature.*

It should be emphasized that one of the main ingredients of this paper is the energy-theoretic approach to K-stability as in Tian [23] (see also [1]), where in our actual proof of Main Theorem, the logarithm of the Chow norm is used in place of the K-energy. To see this clearly, for a connected Fano manifold  $X$ , we consider a *special degeneration*

$$\text{pr} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta_{1+\varepsilon} (= \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; |z| < 1 + \varepsilon\})$$

of  $X$  as in [23], so that the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_1 := \text{pr}^{-1}(1)$  is just  $X$ . Then we take a holomorphic embedding

$$\mathcal{X} \subset \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C}),$$

with  $\text{pr} = \pi_1$  such that  $\pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C})}(1)$  coincides with the relative canonical sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$  on the regular part  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , where  $\pi_1$  (resp.  $\pi_2$ ) denotes the restriction to  $\mathcal{X}$  of the projection of the product  $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C})$  to the first (resp. second) factor. Let

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

be an algebraic group homomorphism chosen in such a way that the induced action of  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $|t| \leq 1$ , on  $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) \ni (z, p) \mapsto (tz, \psi(t) \cdot p) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C})$$

maps  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then for the Fubini-Study form  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , let  $f = f(s)$  be the real-valued function defined by

$$(1.1) \quad f(s) := \kappa(\psi(\exp(s))^* \omega_{\text{FS}}),$$

where  $\kappa$  denotes the K-energy map. Recall that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{f}(s)$  is just the real part of the generalized Futaki invariant of the central fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0$ , and if  $X$  admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, then  $X$  is weakly K-stable in the sense of Tian (denoted *energy-theoretically K-stable* in this paper), i.e., the limit is always negative for all nontrivial special degenerations. Lemma 4.8 in this paper shows that Donaldson's K-stability for a general  $L$  can be characterized also energy-theoretically, where in the definition of  $f_m$  in (4.7), the K-energy appearing in the expression (1.1) for  $f$  is replaced by the logarithm of the Chow norm.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we fix notation by defining K-stability (by Donaldson). In Section 3, by [11], we describe the asymptotic behavior of the  $k$ -th weighted balanced metric  $\omega_k$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In Section 4, we study the relationship between the Futaki invariant of a test configuration and the asymptotic behavior of the Chow norm of fibers (cf. Lemma 4.8). Finally in Section 5, based on the preceding sections, a proof for Main Theorem will be given by developing the Chow norm method in [9] and [10], where the results of Phong and Sturm [19] are used to estimate the second derivative of the Chow norm.

## 2. K-STABILITY

In this paper, by a *polarized algebraic manifold*  $(M, L)$ , we mean a pair of a smooth projective algebraic variety  $M$ , defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and a very ample line bundle  $L$  over  $M$ . Let  $H$  be the maximal connected linear algebraic subgroup of the identity component  $\text{Aut}^0(M)$  of the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of  $M$ , so that  $\text{Aut}^0(M)/H$  is an Abelian variety (cf. [6]). Replacing  $L$  by its suitable positive integral multiple if necessary, we can choose an  $H$ -linearization of  $L$  (cf. [16]). Fix the natural action of the group  $T := \mathbb{C}^*$  on the complex affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1 := \{z; z \in \mathbb{C}\}$  by multiplication of complex numbers,

$$T \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \quad (t, z) \mapsto tz.$$

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be a  $T$ -equivariant projective morphism between complex varieties with an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , relatively very ample over  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , where the algebraic group  $T$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$ , linearly on fibers, lifting the  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For each  $z \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , we put

$$\mathcal{L}_z := \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{M}_z},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_z := \pi^{-1}(z)$  denotes the scheme-theoretic fiber of  $\pi$  over  $z$ . Then the following notion of a test configuration is defined by Donaldson [3] (see special degenerations by Tian [23]). Actually, the pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  with a flat family

$$\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$$

is called a *test configuration* for  $(M, L)$ , if for some positive integer  $\ell$ , there exist the following isomorphisms of polarized algebraic manifolds

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{M}_z, \mathcal{L}_z) \cong (M, \mathcal{O}_M(L^\ell)), \quad 0 \neq z \in \mathbb{A}^1.$$

In the special case when  $\mathcal{M} = M \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , a test configuration is called a *product configuration*, where for such a configuration,  $T$  does not necessarily act on the first factor  $M$  trivially.

Given a test configuration  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  for  $(M, L)$ , we consider the vector bundles  $E_m$  over  $\mathbb{A}^1$  by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(E_m) = \pi_* \mathcal{L}^m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

associated to the direct image sheaves  $\pi_* \mathcal{L}^m$ . Then  $E_m$  admits a natural  $T$ -action  $\rho_m : T \times E_m \rightarrow E_m$  induced by the  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Consider the fibers  $(E_m)_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , of the bundle  $E_m$  over  $z$ . Since the fiber

$$(E_m)_0 = (\pi_* \mathcal{L}^m)_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

over the origin is preserved by the  $T$ -action  $\rho_m$ , we can talk about the weight  $w_m$  of the  $T$ -action on  $\det(E_m)_0$ . Put  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ , and we consider the degree  $d_m$  of the image of the Kodaira embedding

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_{|L^{\ell m}|} : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*(V_m),$$

where  $\mathbb{P}^*(V_m)$  is the set of all hyperplanes in  $V_m := H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(L^{\ell m}))$  through the origin. Put  $N_m := \dim(E_m)_0 = \dim V_m$ . Then for  $m \gg 1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} N_m &= a_n m^n + a_{n-1} m^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 m + a_0, \\ w_m &= b_{n+1} m^{n+1} + b_n m^n + \dots + b_1 m + b_0, \end{cases}$$

for some rational numbers  $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  independent of the choice of  $m$ . Note here that  $a_n = \ell^n c_1(L)^n [M] / n! > 0$ . If  $m \gg 1$ , then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{w_m}{m N_m} = F_0 + F_1 m^{-1} + F_2 m^{-2} + \dots,$$

with coefficients  $F_i = F_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbb{Q}$  independent of the choice of  $m$ . In particular  $F_1 = F_1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  is called the *Futaki invariant* for the test configuration. In contrast to the energy-theoretic one by Tian, the following K-stability is given by Donaldson [3]:

*Definition 2.5.* (i)  $(M, L)$  is said to be *K-semistable*, if the inequality  $F_1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}) \leq 0$  holds for all test configurations  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  for  $(M, L)$ .

(ii) Let  $(M, L)$  be K-semistable. Then  $(M, L)$  is said to be *K-stable*, if for every test configuration  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  for  $(M, L)$ , it reduces to a product configuration if and only if  $F_1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  vanishes.

In this paper, we fix once for all a test configuration  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  of a polarized algebraic manifold  $(M, L)$  which admits a Kähler metric  $\omega_\infty$  in  $c_1(L)_\mathbb{R}$  of constant scalar curvature. Obviously  $F_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}^j)$  coincides with  $F_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  for all positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ , and hence to discuss *K-stability* of  $(M, L)$ , replacing  $\mathcal{L}$  by its suitable positive multiple if necessary, we may assume that  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}_z, \mathcal{L}_z^m) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^m)$  and that the natural homomorphisms

$$\otimes^m H^0(\mathcal{M}_z, \mathcal{L}_z) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_z, \mathcal{L}_z^m), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are surjective for all  $z \in \mathbb{A}^1$ . We can see this easily by the fact that, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is replaced by its very high multiple while  $L$  is fixed, then  $\ell$  becomes large so that the assumptions above are automatically satisfied (cf. [14]; see also [12], Remark 4.6).

Finally, as remarked in [5], Lemma 2, we have the following theorem of equivariant trivialization for  $E_m$ :

**Fact 2.6.** *Let  $H_1$  be a Hermitian metric on the vector space  $(E_m)_z$  at  $z = 1$ . Then there is a  $T$ -equivariant trivialization*

$$(2.7) \quad E_m \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \times (E_m)_0$$

*taking  $H_1$  to a Hermitian metric, denoted by  $H_0$ , on the central fiber  $(E_m)_0$  which is preserved by the action of  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* (= T)$  on  $(E_m)_0$ .*

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF WEIGHTED BALANCED METRICS

Now choose a Hermitian metric  $h_\infty$  for  $L$  such that  $\omega_\infty = c_1(L, h_\infty)$ . Let  $\ell$  be as in (2.1). Following [11], Section 2, we here study the asymptotic behavior of the weighted balanced metrics for polarized algebraic manifolds  $(M, L^{m\ell})$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For the linear algebraic group  $H$  in the previous section, choose the maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $H$  such that  $\omega_\infty$  is  $K$ -invariant (cf. [7]). Then for the identity

component  $Z$  of the center of  $K$ , take its complexification  $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $H$ . For the  $H$ -linearization of  $L$  in the previous section, there exist mutually distinct characters  $\chi_{m;1}, \chi_{m;2}, \dots, \chi_{m;\nu_m} \in \text{Hom}(Z^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$  such that the vector space  $V_m$  is written as a direct sum

$$V_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\nu_m} V(\chi_{m;i}),$$

where  $V(\chi) := \{ \sigma \in V_m; g \cdot \sigma = \chi(g)\sigma \text{ for all } g \in Z^{\mathbb{C}} \}$  for all  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(Z^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the real Lie subalgebra of  $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(T^{1,0}M))$  corresponding to the real Lie subgroup  $Z$  of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ . Put  $\hat{\mathfrak{z}} := \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{z}$ . Let  $h$  be a Hermitian for  $L$  such that  $\omega = c_1(L; h)$  is a  $K$ -invariant Kähler form. Define a  $K$ -invariant Hermitian pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$  for  $V_m$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle_h := \int_M (\sigma, \sigma')_h \omega^n, \quad \sigma, \sigma' \in V_m,$$

where  $(\sigma, \sigma')_h$  denotes the pointwise Hermitian inner product of  $\sigma, \sigma'$  by the  $m$ -multiple of  $h$ . Then by this Hermitian pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ , we have

$$V(\chi_{m;i}) \perp V(\chi_{m;j}), \quad i \neq j.$$

Put  $n_{m;i} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V(\chi_{m;i})$ . Let  $P_m$  be the set of all pairs  $(i, \alpha)$  of integers such that  $1 \leq i \leq \nu_m$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n_{m;i}$ . For the Hermitian pairing in (3.1), we say that an orthonormal basis  $\{ \sigma_{i,\alpha}; (i, \alpha) \in P_m \}$  for  $V_m$  is *admissible* if  $\sigma_{i,\alpha} \in V(\chi_{m;i})$  for all  $(i, \alpha) \in P_m$ . Fixing an admissible orthonormal basis  $\{ \sigma_{i,\alpha}; (i, \alpha) \in P_m \}$  of  $V_m$  with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ , we now define  $Z_m(\omega, \mathcal{Y}, x)$  to be

$$(3.2) \quad (n!/m^n) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{m;i}} \exp\{ -(\chi_{m;i})_*(\mathcal{Y}) + 2x_i \} |\sigma_{i,\alpha}|_h^2,$$

for each  $\mathcal{Y} \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\nu_m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu_m}$ , where we put  $|\sigma|_h^2 := (\sigma, \sigma)_h$  for all  $\sigma \in V_m$ , and  $(\chi_{m;i})_* : \hat{\mathfrak{z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , denote the differentials at  $g = 1$  of the restriction to  $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$  of the characters  $\chi_{m;i} : Z^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Put  $r_0 := n\{2c_1(L)^n[M]\}^{-1}\{c_1(L)^{n-1}c_1(M)[M]\}$ , and consider

$$B_m := \{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\nu_m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu_m}; \|x\| \leq q^2 \},$$

where  $q := m^{-1}$  and  $\|x\| := (\sum_{i=1}^{\nu_m} n_{m;i} x_i^2)^{1/2}$ . Then fixing a sufficiently large positive integer  $k$ , we see from [8], Theorem B, that there exist

vector fields  $\mathcal{Y}_j \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ , real numbers  $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and a  $K$ -invariant Hermitian metric  $u_m$  for  $L$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad Z_m(v_m, \mathcal{Y}, 0) = (1 + \sum_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1}) + O(q^{k+2}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad u_m \rightarrow h_\infty \text{ in } C^\infty, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $v_m := c_1(L; u_m)_\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} := \sum_{j=1}^k q^{j+2} \mathcal{Y}_j$ . In view of the definition of  $\delta_0$  in [10], Step 5, the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [9] allows us to make a perturbation of  $h_m$  via the action of  $\exp(\mathfrak{p}_m'')$  (see [10] for the definition of  $\mathfrak{p}_m''$ ) to obtain a critical point for the Chow norm. Then by (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain from [8], pp.574–576, a  $K$ -invariant Hermitian metric  $h_m$  for  $L$  such that, for some  $b_m = (b_{m;1}, b_{m;2}, \dots, b_{m;\nu_m}) \in B_m$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad Z_m(\omega_m, \mathcal{Y}, b_m) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1}, \quad k \gg 1,$$

$$(3.6) \quad h_m \rightarrow h_\infty \text{ in } C^\infty, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where we set  $\omega_m := c_1(L; h_m)$ . For an admissible orthonormal basis  $\{\sigma_{i,\alpha}; (i, \alpha) \in P_m\}$  for  $V_m$  with the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_m}$ , by setting

$$(3.7) \quad \beta_{m;i} := \exp\{(\chi_{m;i})_*(\mathcal{Y}) + 2b_{m;i}\} - 1,$$

we see from (3.5) and (3.7) the following:

$$(3.8) \quad (n!/m^n) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{m;i}} (1 + \beta_{m;i}) |\sigma_{i,\alpha}|_{h_m}^2 = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1},$$

where, in view of [10], Lemma 2.6, there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  independent of the choice of  $m \gg 1$  and  $i$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad |\beta_{m;i}| \leq C_1 q^2 \quad \text{for all } m \gg 1 \text{ and } i.$$

Then by (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} (\sum_{i=1}^{\nu_m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{m,i}} |\sigma_{i,\alpha}|_{h_m}^2) - m \omega_m = O(q^2).$$

#### 4. THE CHOW NORM AND THE FUTAKI INVARIANT

In this section, we fix a Hermitian metric  $H_1$  on  $V_m$ , where  $(E_m)_s$  at  $s = 1$ , denoted by  $(E_m)_1$ , is identified with  $V_m$ . By the trivialization (2.7),  $H_1$  induces a Hermitian metric  $H_0$  on  $(E_m)_0$ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad W_m := \{\text{Sym}^{d_m}((E_m)_0)\}^{\otimes n+1}$$

admits the Chow norm (cf. Zhang [25], 1.5; see also §4 in [8])

$$W_m^* \ni w \mapsto \|w\|_{\text{CH}(H_0)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Choose an element  $\hat{M}_m$  of  $W_m^*$  such that the corresponding point  $[\hat{M}_m]$  in  $\mathbb{P}^*(W_m)$  is the Chow point for the reduced effective algebraic cycle

$$\gamma_1 := \Phi_{|L^{\ell_m}|}(M)$$

on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Here each  $(E_m)_s$ ,  $s \neq 0$ , is identified with  $(E_m)_0$  via the trivialization (2.7), and by letting  $s = 1$ , we regard  $\Phi_{|L^{\ell_m}|}(M)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^*(V_m)$  as the algebraic cycle  $\gamma_1$  on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Since the  $T$ -action on  $E_m$  preserves  $(E_m)_0$ , we have a representation

$$(4.2) \quad \psi_m : T \rightarrow \text{GL}((E_m)_0)$$

induced by the  $T$ -action on  $E_m$ . Note that this  $T$ -action on  $(E_m)_0$  naturally induces a  $T$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . By the complete linear systems  $|\mathcal{L}_s^m|$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , we have the relative Kodaira embedding

$$\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*(E_m),$$

over  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , where by (2.6) the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_m)$  over  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is viewed as product bundle  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Then each fiber  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_m)_s$  of  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_m)$  over  $s \in \mathbb{A}^1$  is naturally identified with  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ , so that all  $\mathcal{M}_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , are regarded as subschemes of  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Then

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_z = \psi_m(z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where on the right-hand side, the element  $\psi_m(s)$  in  $\text{GL}((E_m)_0)$  acts naturally on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  as a projective linear transformation. Note that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is nothing but  $\gamma_1$  as an algebraic cycle, and that  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is preserved by the  $T$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ .

Let us now consider the  $N_m$ -fold covering  $\hat{T} := \{\hat{t} \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$  of the algebraic torus  $T := \{t \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$  by setting

$$t = \hat{t}^{N_m}$$

for  $t$  and  $\hat{t}$ . Then the mapping  $\psi_m^{\text{SL}} : \hat{T} \rightarrow \text{SL}((E_m)_0)$  defined by

$$(4.4) \quad \psi_m^{\text{SL}}(\hat{t}) := \frac{\psi_m(\hat{t}^{N_m})}{\det(\psi_m(\hat{t}))} = \frac{\psi_m(t)}{\det(\psi_m(\hat{t}))}, \quad \hat{t} \in \hat{T},$$



is also an algebraic group homomorphism. Consider the quotient group  $G_m := \mathrm{SL}((E_m)_0)/\Pi_m$ , where  $\Pi_m := \{ \zeta^\alpha \mathrm{id} ; \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_m \}$  for a primitive  $N_m$ -th root  $\zeta$  of unity. We then define an algebraic group homomorphism  $\hat{\psi}_m : T \rightarrow G_m$  by sending each  $t \in T$  to

$$\hat{\psi}_m(t) : \text{natural image of } \psi_m^{\mathrm{SL}}(\hat{t}) \text{ in } G_m.$$

Consider  $\psi_m(t)$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_m(t)$ ,  $\psi_m^{\mathrm{SL}}(\hat{t})$  above. Then these all induce exactly the same projective linear transformation on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Let  $\gamma_t$  be the algebraic cycle on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  obtained as the image of  $\gamma_1$  by this projective linear transformation. Then as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we have a limit algebraic cycle

$$(4.5) \quad \gamma_0 := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma_t$$

on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . To have another understanding of  $\gamma_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , recall that we can regard each  $\mathcal{M}_z$  as a subscheme

$$\mathcal{M}_z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0), \quad z \in \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Then by (4.3), the algebraic cycle  $\gamma_z$  is nothing but  $\mathcal{M}_z$  viewed just as an algebraic cycle on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  counted with multiplicities. In particular,  $\gamma_0$  is the  $T$ -invariant algebraic cycle on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  associated to the subscheme  $\mathcal{M}_0$  counted with multiplicities.

By  $\hat{M}_m^{(0)} \in W_m^*$ , we denote the element in  $W_m^*$  such that the associated element  $[\hat{M}_m^{(0)}] \in \mathbb{P}^*(W_m)$  is the Chow point for the cycle  $\gamma_0$  on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ . Then (4.5) is interpreted as

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [\psi_m^{\mathrm{SL}}(\hat{t}) \cdot \hat{M}_m] = [\hat{M}_m^{(0)}]$$

in  $\mathbb{P}^*(W_m)$ . Here by (4.1), the group  $\mathrm{GL}((E_m)_0)$  acts naturally on  $W_m^*$ , and hence acts also on  $\mathbb{P}^*(W_m)$ . We now consider the function

$$(4.7) \quad f_m(s) := \log \|\hat{\psi}_m(\exp(s)) \cdot \hat{M}_m\|_{\mathrm{CH}(H_0)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Put  $\dot{f}_m(s) := (df_m/ds)(s)$ . The purpose of this section is to show the following (see Phong and Sturm [20], eqn 7.29, for the leading term; see also [5], p.464–467):

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $a_n$  be as in (2.3). Then the function  $\dot{f}_m(s)$  has a limit, as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ , written in the following form for  $m \gg 1$ :*

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{f}_m(s) = (n+1)! a_n (F_1 m^n + F_2 m^{n-1} + F_3 m^{n-2} + \dots) \\ = (n+1)! a_n \left( \frac{w_m}{m N_m} - F_0 \right) m^{n+1}.$$

*Proof:* Since  $\gamma_0$  is preserved by the  $\hat{T}$ -action on  $(E_m)_0$ , the Chow point  $[\hat{M}^{(0)}]$  for  $\gamma_0$  is fixed by the  $\hat{T}$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^*(W_m)$ , i.e., for some  $q_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi_m^{\text{SL}}(\hat{t}) \cdot \hat{M}_m^{(0)} = \hat{t}^{q_m} \hat{M}_m^{(0)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where the left-hand side is  $\hat{\psi}_m(t) \cdot \hat{M}^{(0)}$  modulo the action of  $\Pi_m$ . Since the  $\hat{T}$ -action on  $W_m^*$  is diagonalizable, we can write  $\hat{M}_m$  in the form

$$(4.10) \quad \hat{M}_m = \sum_{\nu=1}^N w_\nu,$$

where  $0 \neq w_\nu \in W_m^*$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , are such that, for an increasing sequence of integers  $e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_N$ , the equality

$$(4.11) \quad \psi_m^{\text{SL}}(\hat{t}) \cdot w_\nu = \hat{t}^{e_\nu} w_\nu$$

holds for all  $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and  $\hat{t} \in \hat{T}$ . In particular, in view of (4.6), we can find a complex number  $c \neq 0$  such that

$$\hat{M}_m^{(0)} = c w_1,$$

and hence  $q_m$  coincides with  $e_1$ . Then by (4.10) and (4.11), it is easy to check that

$$(4.12) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{f}_m(s) \left( = \frac{e_1}{N_m} \right) = \frac{q_m}{N_m}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $q_m/N_m$  admits the asymptotic expansion as in the right-hand side of (4.9) above. Consider the graded algebra

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (E_{km})_0,$$

where via  $\psi_m^{\text{SL}}$ , the group  $\hat{T}$  acts on  $(E_m)_0$  and hence on  $(E_{km})_0$ . Then by [15], Proposition 2.11, the weight  $p_k$  for the  $\hat{T}$ -action on  $\det(E_{km})_0$  satisfies the following:

$$(4.13) \quad p_k + \frac{q_m}{(n+1)!} k^{n+1} = O(k^n), \quad k \gg 1,$$

i.e., there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$ , possibly depending on  $m$ , such that the left-hand side of (4.13) has absolute value bounded by  $Ck^n$  for positive integers  $k$ . Recall the definition of  $w_{km}$  and  $w_m$  in Section 2. Then by the expression of  $\psi_m^{\text{SL}}$  in (4.4), the weight  $p_k$  for  $\det(E_{km})_0$  induced by the  $\hat{T}$ -action on  $(E_m)_0$  via  $\psi_m^{\text{SL}}$  is expressible as

$$(4.14) \quad p_k = N_m w_{km} - k w_m N_{km}.$$

Here the term  $N_m w_{km}$  in the right-hand side of (4.14) is the weight in  $\hat{t}$  for  $\det(E_{km})_0$  induced from the action on  $(E_m)_0$  by the numerator  $\psi_m(t)$  in (4.4), since it is nothing but the weight in  $\hat{t}$  for the action of  $\psi_{mk}(t)$  on  $\det(E_{km})_0$ , while in view of the natural surjective homomorphism

$$S^k((E_m)_0) \rightarrow (E_{km})_0,$$

the term  $k w_m N_{km}$  is just the weight in  $\hat{t}$  induced from the scalar action on  $(E_m)_0$  by the denominator of (4.4). Then for  $k \gg 1$ , by (4.14) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= (km) N_m N_{km} \left\{ \frac{w_{km}}{(km) N_{km}} - \frac{w_m}{m N_m} \right\} \\ &= -(km) N_m N_{km} \{ (F_1 m^{-1} + F_2 m^{-2} + F_3 m^{-3} + \dots) + O(k^{-1}) \} \\ &= -k^{n+1} a_n N_m \{ (F_1 m^n + F_2 m^{n-1} + F_3 m^{n-2} + \dots) + O(k^{-1}) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.3) applied to  $km$ . Then by comparing this with (4.13), and then by (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_m}{N_m} &= (n+1)! a_n (F_1 m^n + F_2 m^{n-1} + F_3 m^{n-2} + \dots) \\ &= (n+1)! a_n \left( \frac{w_m}{m N_m} - F_0 \right) m^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

## 5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

In this section, by using the notation in (3.1), we choose  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_m}$  as the Hermitian metric  $H_1$  for  $V_m$  in Section 4, where  $h_m$  is as in (3.6). For the corresponding Chow norm

$$W_m^* \ni w \mapsto \|w\|_{\text{CH}(H_0)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$$

we consider the real-valued function  $f_m$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as in (4.7). For the one-parameter group  $\hat{\psi}_m : \hat{T} \rightarrow G_m$ , the vector space  $(E_m)_0$  admits an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{T} := \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{N_m}\}$  such that, for some  $e_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha = 0$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \hat{\psi}_m(t) \tau_\alpha \equiv t^{e_\alpha} \tau_\alpha, \quad t \in T,$$

modulo the action of  $\Pi_m$ . By the associated Kodaira embedding  $\mathcal{M}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$ , we regard  $\mathcal{M}_0$  as a subscheme

$$\mathcal{M}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}), \quad p \mapsto (\tau_1(p) : \tau_2(p) : \dots : \tau_{N_m}(p)),$$

where we identify  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  with

$$\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}) := \{(z_1 : z_2 : \dots : z_{N_m})\}$$

by the basis  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since we regard  $(E_m)_1$  just as  $V_m$ , the identification (2.7) allows us to obtain a basis  $\mathcal{T}' := \{\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_{N_m}\}$  for  $V_m$  corresponding to the basis  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $(E_m)_0$ . Note that this basis  $\mathcal{T}'$  is orthonormal with respect to the Hermitian metric  $H_1 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_m}$ . Then the Kodaira embedding  $\Phi_{|L^{\ell_m}|} : M (= \mathcal{M}_1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*(V_m)$  is given by

$$(5.2) \quad M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}), \quad p \mapsto (\tau'_1(p) : \tau'_2(p) : \dots : \tau'_{N_m}(p)),$$

where we identify  $\mathbb{P}^*(V_m) = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  by the bases  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then the Fubini-Study form  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}) (= \mathbb{P}^*(V_m))$  is

$$\omega_{\text{FS}} := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} |z_\alpha|^2).$$

By (3.10), we here observe that

$$(5.3) \quad \omega_{\text{FS}} - m\omega_m = O(q^2),$$

on  $M$ . For the function  $f_m(s)$  in (4.7), we first give an estimate of the first derivative  $\dot{f}_m(0)$ . In view of [25] (see also [8]),

$$(5.4) \quad \dot{f}_m(0) = (n+1) \int_M \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2}{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2} \omega_{\text{FS}}^n,$$

where by (3.8) and (3.9), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad \Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2 &= \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_m} \Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{n_{m,i}} |\sigma_{i,\alpha}|_{h_m}^2 \\
&= (m^n/n!) (1 + \Sigma_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1}) - \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_m} \Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{n_{m,i}} \beta_{m,i} |\sigma_{i,\alpha}|_{h_m}^2 \\
&= (m^n/n!) (1 + \Sigma_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1}) \{1 + O(q^2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can rewrite (5.4) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad \dot{f}_m(0) &= (n+1)! \int_M \frac{\Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2}{1 + \Sigma_{j=0}^k r_j q^{j+1}} \{1 + O(q^2)\} \omega_m^n \\
&= \int_M O(q^2) (\Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2) \omega_m^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from

$$\int_M \Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2 \omega_m^n = \Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha = 0.$$

All weights  $e_\alpha$  for  $\hat{\psi}_m$  have absolute value bounded by  $C_2 m$  for some constant  $C_2 > 0$  independent of both  $\alpha$  and  $m$ , i.e.,

$$(5.7) \quad |e_\alpha| \leq C_2 m, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_m.$$

In view of (5.5),  $\Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} |\tau'_\alpha|_{h_m}^2 = O(m^n)$ . Then by (5.6) and (5.7),

$$(5.8) \quad \dot{f}_m(0) = O(m^{n-1}).$$

By [25] (see also [8], 4.5),  $\ddot{f}_m(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In (4.9), let  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by (5.8), we obtain  $F_1 \leq 0$ , i.e., K-semistability of  $(M, L)$  follows.

To show K-stability, we now assume that  $F_1 = 0$  for the test configuration above. Then by Lemma 4.8,

$$(5.9) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{f}_m(s) = O(m^{n-1}).$$

Here we consider the second derivative  $\ddot{f}_m(s)$ . From now on, by setting  $\delta := C_3(\log m)q$ , we require the real number  $s$  to satisfy

$$(5.10) \quad |s| \leq \delta,$$

where  $C_3$  is a positive real number independent of the choice of  $m$ . For local one-parameter group

$$\mu_{m,s} := \hat{\psi}_m(\exp(s)) \in G_m, \quad -\delta \leq s \leq \delta,$$

we regard each  $\mu_{m,s}$  as a linear isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^{N_m-1} (= V_m)$ , modulo the action by  $\Pi_m$ , via the identification of  $(E_m)_0$  with  $(E_m)_1 (= V_m)$ . Note also that  $G_m$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0) (= \mathbb{P}^*(V_m))$  via the projection

$$\pi_m : G_m (= \mathrm{SL}(N_m; \mathbb{C})/\Pi_m) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}((E_m)_0) (= \mathrm{PGL}(N_m; \mathbb{C})).$$

Now by Appendix, the family of Kähler manifolds

$$(5.11) \quad (M, q(\mu_{m,s}^* \omega_{\mathrm{FS}})|_M), \quad -\delta \leq s \leq \delta, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

has bounded geometry. Let us now consider the holomorphic vector field  $\mathcal{V}^m := (\pi_m \circ \hat{\psi}_m)_*(\partial/\partial s)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})$  which generates the local one-parameter group  $\pi_m(\mu_{m,s})$ ,  $-\delta \leq s \leq \delta$ . For each  $s$ , consider the holomorphic tangent bundle  $TM_s$  of  $M_s := \mu_{m,s}(M)$ , where  $M$  is viewed as a subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})$  by (5.2). Metrically, for the orthogonal complement  $TM_s^\perp$  of  $TM_s$  in  $T\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})|_{M_s}$  by the metric  $\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}$ , we can regard the normal bundle of  $M_s$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})$  as the subbundle  $TM_s^\perp$  of  $T\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})|_{M_s}$ . Hence  $T\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})|_{M_s}$  is differentiably a direct sum  $TM_s \oplus TM_s^\perp$ , and we can uniquely write

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{V}^m|_{M_s} = \mathcal{V}_{TM_s}^m + \mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m,$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_{TM}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{TM^\perp}$  are smooth sections of  $TM_s$  and  $TM_s^\perp$ , respectively. Consider the exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow TM_s \rightarrow T\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})|_{M_s} \rightarrow TM_s^\perp \rightarrow 0$$

over  $M_s$ . Then the pointwise estimate (cf. [19], (5.16)) for the second fundamental form for this exact sequence is valid also in our case (cf. [9], Step 2), and as in [19], (5.15), we obtain the inequality

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{M_s} |\mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m|_{\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}}^2 \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^n \geq C_4 \int_{M_s} |\bar{\partial} \mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m|_{\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}}^2 \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^n,$$

where  $C_4$  is a positive real constant independent of the choice of  $m$ . The second derivative  $\ddot{f}_m(s)$  is (see for instance [8], [19]) given by

$$(5.14) \quad \ddot{f}_m(s) = \int_{M_s} |\mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m|_{\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}}^2 \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}^n \geq 0.$$

Put  $\varphi_m := (\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2) / (m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} |z_\alpha|^2)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then by (5.7)  $\varphi_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , are uniformly bounded satisfying (cf. [9], (4.5))

$$(5.15) \quad i_{\mathcal{V}^m}(q\omega_{\text{FS}}) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \bar{\partial}\varphi_m.$$

For  $M$  as a submanifold of  $\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C})$  in (5.2), we consider the sheaves  $\mathcal{A}^{0,j}(TM)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , of germs of smooth  $(0, j)$ -forms on  $M$  with values in the holomorphic tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$ , and endow  $M$  with the Kähler metric  $q\mu_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}}|_M$  for  $s$  as in (5.10). Now on  $\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}(TM)$ , we consider the operator

$$\Delta_{TM,s} := -\bar{\partial}^\# \bar{\partial},$$

where  $\bar{\partial}^\#$  is the formal adjoint of  $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}(TM) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(0,1)}(TM)$ . For  $\Gamma := H^0(M, \mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}(TM))$ , we consider the Hermitian  $L^2$ -pairing

$$\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle_s := \int_M (V_1, V_2)_{q\mu_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}}} (q\mu_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}})^n, \quad V_1, V_2 \in \Gamma,$$

where  $(V_1, V_2)_{q\mu_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}}}$  is the pointwise Hermitian pairing of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  by the Kähler metric  $q\mu_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$ . For the subspace  $\mathfrak{g} := H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(TM))$  of  $\Gamma$ , we consider its orthogonal complement  $\mathfrak{g}_s^\perp$  in  $\Gamma$  by the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_{TM_s}^m$  in (5.12) is expressible as

$$\mathcal{V}_{TM_s}^m = \mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\circ + \mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet,$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\circ$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet$  belong to  $(\mu_{m,s})_*\mathfrak{g}$  and  $(\mu_{m,s})_*\mathfrak{g}_s^\perp$ , respectively. Since the left-hand side of (5.12) is holomorphic,

$$(5.16) \quad \bar{\partial}\mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m = -\bar{\partial}\mathcal{V}_{TM_s}^m = -\bar{\partial}\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet.$$

Since the family (5.11) has bounded geometry, the first positive eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the operator  $\Delta_{TM}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}(TM)$  is bounded from below by some positive constant  $C_5$  independent of the choice of  $m$ . Hence

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{M_s} |\bar{\partial}\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet|_{q\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n \geq C_5 \int_{M_s} |\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet|_{q\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n.$$

From (5.13), (5.16) and (5.17), it now follows that

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{M_s} |\mathcal{V}_{TM_s^\perp}^m|_{\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 \omega_{\text{FS}}^n \geq C_4 C_5 q \int_{M_s} |\mathcal{V}_{m,s}^\bullet|_{\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 \omega_{\text{FS}}^n.$$

In view of (5.14),  $\dot{f}_m(0) - \dot{f}_m(-\delta) = \int_{-\delta}^0 \ddot{f}_m(s) ds \geq 0$ , and it follows from (5.8) and (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} O(m^{n-1}) &= \dot{f}_m(0) - \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{f}_m(s) \geq \dot{f}_m(0) - \dot{f}_m(-\delta) \\ &= \int_{-\delta}^0 \ddot{f}_m(s) ds \geq \ddot{f}_m(s_m) \delta \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , are real numbers at which the functions  $\ddot{f}_m(s)$ ,  $-\delta \leq s \leq 0$ , attain their minima, i.e.,

$$\ddot{f}_m(s_m) = \min_{-\delta \leq s \leq 0} \ddot{f}_m(s).$$

Therefore, in view of (5.14) and  $\delta = O(q \log m)$ , we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \int_{M_{s_m}} |\mathcal{V}_{TM_{s_m}^\perp}^m|_{q\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n = O\left(\frac{q}{\log m}\right),$$

since the left-hand side is  $\ddot{f}_m(s_m)/m^{n+1}$ . Then by (5.18),

$$(5.20) \quad \int_{M_{s_m}} |\mathcal{V}_{m,s_m}^\bullet|_{q\omega_{\text{FS}}}^2 (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n = O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right).$$

Infinitesimally, (5.1) is written as  $\mathcal{V}^m \cdot \tau'_\alpha = e_\alpha \tau'_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_m$ , and for  $\varphi_m$  in (5.15), by setting

$$\bar{\varphi}_m := \left\{ \int_{M_{s_m}} (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n \right\}^{-1} \int_{M_{s_m}} \varphi_m (q\omega_{\text{FS}})^n,$$

we can write the pullback  $\mu_{m,s_m}^*(\varphi_m - \bar{\varphi}_m)$  as

$$(5.21) \quad \eta_m := (\mu_{m,s_m}^* \varphi_m)|_M - \bar{\varphi}_m = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_\alpha \tau'_\alpha \bar{\tau}'_\alpha \exp(2s_m e_\alpha)}{m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} \tau'_\alpha \bar{\tau}'_\alpha \exp(2s_m e_\alpha)} - \bar{\varphi}_m$$

when restricted to  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^*(V_m)$ . Note that  $\bar{\varphi}_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then we can write the uniformly bounded real-valued functions  $\eta_m$  on  $M$  as

$$\eta_m := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} e_{\alpha,m} \tau'_\alpha \bar{\tau}'_\alpha \exp(2s_m e_{\alpha,m})}{m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_m} \tau'_\alpha \bar{\tau}'_\alpha \exp(2s_m e_{\alpha,m})}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $e_{\alpha,m} := e_\alpha - m\bar{\varphi}_m$ . Put  $\omega_m := q\mu_{s_m}^* \omega_{\text{FS}}|_M$ . Hereafter, replace the sequence  $s_m$ ,  $m \gg 1$ , by its suitable subsequence  $s_{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , if necessary. We write  $m_j$ ,  $m_j^{-1}$ ,  $N_{m_j}$ ,  $s_{m_j}$ ,  $\omega_{m_j}$ ,  $\eta_{m_j}$ ,  $e_{\alpha,m_j}$  as  $m(j)$ ,  $q(j)$ ,



$N(j)$ ,  $s(j)$ ,  $\omega(j)$ ,  $\eta(j)$ ,  $e_\alpha(j)$ , respectively. Then by Appendix, we may assume that  $\omega(j)$  converges to  $\omega_\infty$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, we set

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}(j) := \mathcal{V}^{m(j)} = (\mu_j^{-1})_* \mathcal{V}^{m(j)}, & \mathcal{V}_{TM}(j) := (\mu_j^{-1})_* \mathcal{V}_{TM}^{m(j)}, \\ \mathcal{V}^\circ(j) := (\mu_j^{-1})_* \mathcal{V}_{m(j),s(j)}^\circ, & \mathcal{V}^\bullet(j) := (\mu_j^{-1})_* \mathcal{V}_{m(j),s(j)}^\bullet, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_j := \mu_{m(j),s(j)}$ . Then the following cases 1 and 2 are possible:

Case 1:  $I_j^\circ := \int_M |\mathcal{V}^\circ(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , are bounded. In this case, by  $|\mathcal{V}_{TM}^2(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 = |\mathcal{V}^\circ(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 + |\mathcal{V}^\bullet(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2$ , this boundedness together with (5.20) implies that

$$(5.22) \quad \int_M |\mathcal{V}_{TM}(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ are bounded.}$$

Note that  $\omega(j) \rightarrow \omega_\infty$  in  $C^\infty$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence in view of (5.22), since  $|\mathcal{V}_{TM}(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 = |\bar{\partial}\eta(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2$  by (5.15), the sequence of integrals  $\int_M |\bar{\partial}\eta(j)|_{\omega_\infty}^2 \omega_\infty^n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , is bounded, so that  $\eta(j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a bounded sequence in the Sobolev space  $L^{1,2}(M, \omega_\infty)$ . Now by [21], we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Then replacing  $\eta(j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , by its subsequence if necessary, we may further assume the convergence

$$(5.23) \quad \eta(j) \rightarrow \eta_\infty \text{ in } L^2(M, \omega_\infty^n), \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\eta_\infty$  is a real-valued function in  $L^2(M, \omega_\infty)$ . Recall that the Lichnerowich operator  $\Lambda_j : C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$  for the Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega(j))$  is an elliptic operator, of order 4, with kernel consisting of all Hamiltonian functions for the holomorphic Hamiltonian vector fields on  $M$ . Now, to each smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$ , we associate a complex vector field  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,j}$  of type  $(1, 0)$  on  $M$  such that

$$i(\mathfrak{S}_{f,j}) \omega(j) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} f.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\eta(j),j} = \mathcal{V}_{TM}(j)$  by (5.15) and (5.21). Then for the formal adjoint  $\Lambda_j^\# : C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$  of the operator  $\Lambda_j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta(j) \{ \Lambda_j^\# f \} \omega(j)^n &= \int_M \{ \Lambda_j \eta(j) \} f \omega(j)^n = \langle \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{S}_{\eta(j),j}, \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{S}_{f,j} \rangle_{s(j)} \\ &= \langle \bar{\partial} \{ \mathcal{V}_{TM}(j) \}, \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{S}_{f,j} \rangle_{s(j)} = \langle \bar{\partial} \{ \mathcal{V}^\bullet(j) \}, \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{S}_{f,j} \rangle_{s(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$ . Here the last equality follows from the identities  $\mathcal{V}_{TM}(j) = \mathcal{V}^\circ(j) + \mathcal{V}^\bullet(j)$  and  $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{V}^\circ(j) = 0$ . Hence, for each fixed  $f$  in  $C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$ , we obtain

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \int_M \eta(j) \{ \Lambda_j^\# f \} \omega(j)^n \right| = |\langle \mathcal{V}^\bullet(j), \Delta_j \mathfrak{S}_{f,j} \rangle_{s(j)}| \\ \leq \left\{ \int_M |\Delta_j \mathfrak{S}_{f,j}|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n \right\}^{1/2} I_j^\bullet, \end{cases}$$

where  $I_j^\bullet := \{ \int_M |\mathcal{V}^\bullet(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n \}^{1/2}$  and  $\Delta_j := \Delta_{TM,s(j)}$ . Let  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.24). Since  $I_j^\bullet \rightarrow 0$  by (5.20), and since  $\omega(j) \rightarrow \omega_\infty$  in  $C^\infty$ , by passing to the limit, we see from (5.23) and (5.24) that

$$\int_M \eta_\infty \{ \Lambda_\infty^\# f \} \omega_\infty^n = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C},$$

where  $\Lambda_\infty : C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)_\mathbb{C}$  is the Lichnerowich operator for the Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega_\infty)$ , and  $\Lambda_\infty^\#$  is its formal adjoint. Since  $\Lambda_\infty$  is elliptic, any weak solution  $\eta = \eta_\infty$  for the equation

$$\Lambda_\infty \eta = 0$$

is always a strong solution. In particular  $\eta_\infty$  is a real-valued smooth function on  $M$  such that the complex vector field  $W$  of type  $(1, 0)$  on  $M$  defined by  $i(W)\omega_\infty = \bar{\partial}\eta_\infty$  is holomorphic. Then by [13], the test configuration  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is a product configuration.

Case 2:  $I_j^\circ \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we put  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{TM}(j) := \mathcal{V}_{TM}(j)/\sqrt{I_j^\circ}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j) := \mathcal{V}^\circ(j)/\sqrt{I_j^\circ}$ , and  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\bullet(j) := \mathcal{V}^\bullet(j)/\sqrt{I_j^\circ}$ . Then

$$(5.25) \quad \int_M |\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n = 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where by setting  $\hat{\eta}(j) := \eta(j)/\sqrt{I_j^\circ}$ , we see from  $\mathfrak{S}_{\eta(j),j} = \mathcal{V}_{TM}(j)$  that the complex vector field  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{TM}(j)$  of type  $(1, 0)$  on  $M$  satisfies

$$(5.26) \quad i(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{TM}(j)) \omega(j) = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}(\hat{\eta}(j)).$$

Since the functions  $\eta_m$ ,  $m \gg 1$ , are uniformly bounded on  $M$ , and since  $\omega(j)$  converges to  $\omega_\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the convergence

$$(5.27) \quad \hat{\eta}(j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } C^0(M), \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (5.25), replacing  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , by its subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$(5.28) \quad \hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty^\circ \text{ in } \mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some  $0 \neq \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty^\circ \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\hat{\eta}^\circ(j)$  and  $\hat{\eta}^\bullet(j)$  be the Hamiltonian functions associated to the vector fields  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\bullet(j)$  by

$$\begin{cases} i(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\circ(j)) \omega(j) &= \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}(\hat{\eta}^\circ(j)), \\ i(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^\bullet(j)) \omega(j) &= \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}(\hat{\eta}^\bullet(j)), \end{cases}$$

where the functions  $\hat{\eta}^\circ(j)$  and  $\hat{\eta}^\bullet(j)$  are normalized by the vanishing of the integrals  $\int_M \hat{\eta}^\circ(j) \omega(j)^n$  and  $\int_M \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j) \omega(j)^n$ . Then

$$(5.29) \quad \hat{\eta}(j) = \hat{\eta}^\circ(j) + \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j).$$

In view of (5.28), there exists a non-constant real-valued  $C^\infty$  function  $\rho$  on  $M$  such that  $i(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty^\circ) \omega_\infty = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \rho$  and that

$$\hat{\eta}^\circ(j) \rightarrow \rho \text{ in } C^\infty(M), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by (5.29), it follows from (5.27) that

$$(5.30) \quad \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j) \rightarrow -\rho \text{ in } C^0(M), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, by (5.20),  $\int_M |\bar{\partial} \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence for each fixed smooth  $(0, 1)$ -form  $\theta$  on  $M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\hat{\eta}^\bullet(j), \bar{\partial}(j)^* \theta)_{L^2(M, \omega(j)^n)} \right| &= \left| \int_M (\bar{\partial} \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j), \theta)_{\omega(j)} \omega(j)^n \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_M |\bar{\partial} \hat{\eta}^\bullet(j)|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_M |\theta|_{\omega(j)}^2 \omega(j)^n \right\}^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\bar{\partial}(j)^*$  and  $\bar{\partial}_\infty^*$  are the formal adjoints of the operator  $\bar{\partial}$  on functions for the Kähler manifolds  $(M, \omega(j))$  and  $(M, \omega_\infty)$ , respectively. Then by letting to  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the vanishing for the Hermitian  $L^2$ -inner product of functions  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\partial}^* \theta$ ,

$$(\rho, \bar{\partial}^* \theta)_{L^2(M, \omega_\infty)} = 0,$$

for every smooth  $(0, 1)$ -form  $\theta$  on  $M$ , i.e.,  $\bar{\partial} \rho = 0$  in a weak sense, and therefore in a strong sense. Hence we conclude that  $\rho$  is constant on  $M$  in contradiction to  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\infty^\circ \neq 0$ .

## 6. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we shall show that the family of Kähler manifolds

$$(6.1) \quad (M, q(\mu_{m,s}^* \omega_{\text{FS}})|_M), \quad -\delta \leq s \leq \delta, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

has bounded geometry. By Fact 2.6 applied to  $m = 1$ , we identify  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_1)$  with  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0)$ , and let  $\text{pr}_2 : \mathbb{P}^*(E_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0)$  be the projection to the second factor. As in Section 4, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*(E_1),$$

where the pullback  $\mathcal{H} := \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0)}(1)$  to  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_1)$  of the the hyperplane bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0)}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0)$  is written as

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{H}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{L} (= L^\ell).$$

Recall that the action of  $T = \mathbb{C}^*$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  lifts to an action of  $T$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , and hence  $T$  acts on  $E_1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \times (E_1)_0$  by

$$T \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \times (E_1)_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (E_1)_0, \quad (t, (z, e)) \mapsto (tz, \psi_1(t) \cdot e).$$

This induces a  $T$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^*(E_1) (= \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^*((E_1)_0))$ , and for (6.2),  $\mathcal{M}$  is preserved by the  $T$ -action. Note that the  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{L}$  lifts the  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$ . By

$$T \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad (t, p) \mapsto g_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \cdot p,$$

we mean the  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the corresponding  $T$ -action on  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  upstairs will be denoted by

$$T \times (\mathcal{L} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (t, h) \mapsto g_{|\mathcal{L}|^2}(t) \cdot h.$$

Since  $\text{GL}((E_m)_0)$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  via the projection of  $\text{GL}((E_m)_0)$  onto  $\text{PGL}((E_m)_0)$ , by setting  $\tilde{\mu}_{m,s} := \psi_m(\exp(s))$ , we have

$$(6.4) \quad q \mu_{m,s}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} = q \tilde{\mu}_{m,s}^* \omega_{\text{FS}}.$$

In view of  $\delta = C_3(\log m)q$ ,  $m \gg 1$ , we estimate  $\exp(s)$  in the form

$$(6.5) \quad 1 - \epsilon \leq e^{-C_3(\log m)/m} \leq \exp(s) \leq e^{C_3(\log m)/m} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

for some  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . As in Section 5, by the bases  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{N_m}\}$  and  $\{\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_{N_m}\}$  for  $(E_m)_0$  and  $(E_m)_1 (= V_m)$ , respectively, the spaces

$\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_0)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^*((E_m)_1) (= \mathbb{P}^*(V_m))$  are identified with

$$\mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}(\mathbb{C}) = \{ (z_1 : z_2 : \cdots : z_{N_m}) \}.$$

Note that  $q\omega_{\text{FS}} = (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)\partial\bar{\partial}\log\Omega_{\text{FS},m}$ , where  $\Omega_{\text{FS},m}$  denotes the positive real smooth section  $\{(n!/m^n)\Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N_m}|z_\alpha|^2\}^q$  of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ . In view of (6.3), identifying  $M$  with  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , we easily see that  $q\tilde{\mu}_{m,s}^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is

$$(6.6) \quad (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi) g_{\mathcal{M}}(\exp(s))^* \partial\bar{\partial}\log\{g_{|\mathcal{L}|^2}(\exp(s)) \cdot \Omega_{\text{FS},m}\},$$

when restricted to  $M$ . By (3.6) and (5.3), we now conclude from (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6) that the family of Kähler manifolds in (6.1) has bounded geometry, as required.

## REFERENCES

- [1] X. X. Chen & G. Tian, Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs, Publ. Math. IHES, 107 (2008), 1–107.
- [2] S.K. DONALDSON: Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I, J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001), 479–522.
- [3] S.K. DONALDSON: Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties, J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), 289–349.
- [4] S.K. DONALDSON: Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, II, Quart. J. Math. 56 (2005), 345–356.
- [5] S.K. DONALDSON: Lower bounds on the Calabi functional, J. Differential Geom. 70 (2005), 453–472.
- [6] A. FUJIKI: On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 44 (1978), 225–258.
- [7] LICHNÉROWICZ: Isométrie et transformations analytique d’une variété khlérienne compacte, Bull. Soc. Math. France 87 (1959), 427–437.
- [8] T. MABUCHI: Stability of extremal Kähler manifolds, Osaka J. Math. 41 (2004), 563–582.
- [9] T. MABUCHI: An energy-theoretic approach to the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for manifolds, I, Invent. Math. 159 (2005), 225–243.
- [10] T. MABUCHI: An energy-theoretic approach to the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for manifolds, II, arXiv: math.DG/0410239, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [11] T. MABUCHI: Uniqueness of extremal Kähler metrics for an integral Kähler class, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), 531–546.
- [12] Chow-stability and Hilbert-stability in Mumford’s Geometric Invariant Theory, Osaka J. Math. 45 (2008), 833–846.
- [13] T. MABUCHI: A stronger concept of K-stability, in preparation.

- [14] D. MUMFORD: Varieties defined by quadratic equations, in “1970 Questions on algebraic varieties” (C.I.M.E., III Cicolò, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 29–100.
- [15] D. MUMFORD: Stabilities of projective varieties, *L’Enseignement Math.* 23 (1977), 39–110.
- [16] D. MUMFORD, J. FOGARTY AND F. KIRWAN: *Geometric Invariant Theory*, 3rd enlarged ed., *Ergeb. Math.* 34, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1994.
- [17] Y. MATSUSHIMA: Holomorphic vector fields on compact Kähler manifolds, *Conf. Board Math. Sci. Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.* 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1971.
- [18] S.T. PAUL AND G. TIAN: Analysis of geometric stability, *Internat. Math. Research Notices* 48 (2004), 2555–2591.
- [19] D.H. PHONG AND J. STURM: Scalar curvature, moment maps, and the Deligne pairing, *arXiv: math.DG/0209098v3*.
- [20] D.H. PHONG AND J. STURM: Lectures on stability and constant scalar curvature, *arXiv: math.DG/0801.4179v2*.
- [21] J. ROSS AND R.P. THOMAS: A study of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties, *J. Alg. Geom.* 16 (2007), 201–255.
- [22] J. STOPPA: K-stability of constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds, *arXiv: math.AG/0803.4095*.
- [23] G. TIAN: Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, *Invent. Math.* 130 (1997), 1–37.
- [24] S.-T. YAU: Perspectives on geometric analysis, *arXiv: math.DG/0602363v2*.
- [25] S. ZHANG: Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties, *Compos. Math.* 104 (1996), 77–105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
 TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043  
 JAPAN